1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General	
2	of the State of California GLORIA A. BARRIOS	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General MICHAEL W. VALENTINE, State Bar No. 153078	
4	Deputy Attorney General 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702	
5	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-1034	
	Facsimile: (213) 897-2804	
6	Au C. Consulainant	
7	Attorneys for Complainant	
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF REGISTERED NURSING DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 2009-97
12	LAURA DEE MALAST aka LAURIE TUCKER	ACCUSATION
13	aka LAURA GOLLY	ACCUBATION
14	THE OASIS APDO 10-5361 PUNTARENAS	
15	COBANO, 5361 COSTA RICA	
16	Registered Nurse License No. 559518	
17		
18	Respondent.	
19	Complainant alleges:	
20	PARTIE <u>S</u>	
21	1. Ruth Ann Terry, M.P.H., R.N. (Complainant) brings this Accusation	
22	solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing,	
23	Department of Consumer Affairs (Board).	
24	2. On or about September 2, 1999, the Board of Registered Nursing issued	
25	Registered Nurse License Number 559518 to Laura Dee Malast aka Laurie Tucker aka Laura	
26	Golly (Respondent). The Registered Nurse License was in full force and effect at all times	
27	relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on December 31, 2008, unless renewed.	
28	///	

///

JURISDICTION

3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws. All Section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 4. Section 2750 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may discipline any licensee, including a licensee holding a temporary or an inactive license, for any reason provided in Article 3 (commencing with section 2750) of the Nursing Practice Act.
 - 5. Section 2761 states, in pertinent part:

"The board may take disciplinary action against a certified or licensed nurse or deny an application for a certificate or license for any of the following:

- "(a) Unprofessional conduct, which includes, but is not limited to, the following:
- "(d) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violating of, or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter [the Nursing Practice Act] or regulations adopted pursuant to it."
 - 6. Section 2762 states, in pertinent part:

"In addition to other acts constituting unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this chapter [the Nursing Practice Act], it is unprofessional conduct for a person licensed under this chapter to do any of the following:

"(a) Obtain or possess in violation of law, or prescribe, or except as directed by a licensed physician and surgeon, dentist, or podiatrist administer to himself or herself, or furnish or administer to another, any controlled substance as defined in Division 10 (commencing with Section 11000) of the Health and Safety or any dangerous drug or dangerous device as defined in Section 4022.

27 clas

"(b) Use any controlled substance as defined in Division 10 (commencing with Section 11000) of the Health and Safety Code, or any dangerous drug or dangerous device as defined in Section 4022, or alcoholic beverages, to an extent or in a manner dangerous or injurious to himself or herself, any other person, or the public or to the extent that such use impairs his or her ability to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by his or her license."

· · · •

- "(e) Falsify, or make grossly incorrect, grossly inconsistent, or unintelligible entries in any hospital, patient, or other record pertaining to the substances described in subdivision (a) of this section."
- 7. Section 2764 provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee or to render a decision imposing discipline on the license. Under section 2811(b) of the Code, the Board may renew an expired license at any time within eight years after the expiration.
 - 8. Health and Safety Code section 11173, subdivisions (a) and (b), state:
- "(a) No person shall obtain or attempt to obtain controlled substances, or procure or attempt to procure the administration of or prescription for controlled substances, (1) by fraud, deceit, misrepresentation, or subterfuge; or (2) by the concealment of a material fact.
- (b) No person shall make a false statement in any prescription, order, report, or records, required by this division."

CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES/DANGEROUS DRUGS

- 9. Demerol, a brand of meperidine hydrochloride, a derivative of the narcotic substance pethidine, is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11055(c)(1) and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.
- 10. Dilaudid, a trade name for the narcotic substance hydromorphone, is classified as a Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section

11055, subdivision (b)(1)(k), and is a dangerous drug within the meaning of Business and Professions Code section 4022.

- 11. Marijuana is a hallucinogenic Schedule I controlled substance, as defined in Health and Safety Code section 11054, subdivision (d)(13), and a dangerous drug according to Business and Professions Code section 4022.
- Morphine is a Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11055(b)(1)(M), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

COST RECOVERY

13. Section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

SUMMARY OF FACTS

- 14. In or about December 2005, Respondent was employed by HRN Services of West Covina, California (HRN). On or about December 21, 2005, the Board received a complaint from the Regional Director of HRN, reporting that narcotic discrepancies had occurred at two of Respondent's regular work places: (1) St. Mary Medical Center in Long Beach, California (St. Mary Medical Center); and (2) Orange Coast Memorial Medical Center in Fountain Valley, California (Orange Coast Memorial Medical Center).
- 15. <u>St. Mary Medical Center.</u> On or about December 21, 2005, the Board received a complaint from the Charge Nurse at St. Mary Medical Center, reporting that Respondent was removing Dilaudid from a medication dispensing system, using the access codes of other staff members, as follows:
- (1) Patient #2000161562. Although there were no physician orders for Dilaudid, on or about July 24, 2005, at 1440 hours, Respondent withdrew two milligrams of Dilaudid from the Pyxis medication dispensing system (Pyxis), using the access code of Jeff S. There was no documentation of any administration of Dilaudid to this patient.

- (2) Patient #2000161589. Although there was no physician order for morphine, on or about July 24, 2005, at 0343 hours, Respondent withdrew 10 milligrams of Morphine from Pyxis, using the access code of Tara A. There was no documentation of any administration of Morphine to this patient.
- (3) Patient #2000161609. Although there was no physician order for Dilaudid, on or about July 24, 2005, at 1951 hours, Respondent withdrew 2 milligrams of Dilaudid from Pyxis, using the access code of Bill Page. There was no documentation of any administration of Dilaudid to this patient.
- Orange Coast Memorial Medical Center. On or about December 8, 2005, an incident report was filed by the Director of Patient Care Services for HRN (the HRN Director of Patient Care), indicating medication discrepancies involving Respondent and false or incorrect documentation entries she made while on assignment at Orange Coast Memorial Medical Center.
- (1) <u>Patient Stephanie A.</u> On or about December 6, 2005, at 1801 hours, Respondent withdrew 2 milligrams of Dilaudid without a doctor's order, and did not document administering it to the patient, or wasting it, on any hospital record.
- (2) <u>Patient Phyllis B.</u> On or about December 6, 2005, at 1245 hours, Respondent removed 2 milligrams of Dilaudid without a doctor's order, and did not document administering it, or wasting it, on any hospital record.
- Shannon O. included two orders for 1 milligram of Dilaudid. However, on or about December 2, 2005, Respondent withdrew 3 milligrams of Dilaudid in three separate 1-milligram withdrawals: 1 milligram at 1209 hours, 1 milligram at 1336 hours, and 1 milligram at 1604 hours. In the Medical Administration Record (MAR), Respondent documented giving .5 milligrams of Dilaudid at 1116 hours, .5 milligrams at 1130 hours, and 1 milligram of Dilaudid at 1600 hours. In the Nursing Notes, she documented giving .5 milligrams of Dilaudid at 1116 hours and 1130 hours, and 1 milligram of Dilaudid at 1205 hours and 1600 hours. The MAR documentation does not match the Nursing Notes documentation. Although there were no physician orders for

- (4) Patient Nancy S. On or about December 2, 2005, Respondent was caring for Patient Nancy S. Physician orders were missing from this patient's file. Respondent withdrew 2 milligrams of Dilaudid for Nancy S. at each of the following four times: 1231 hours, 1418 hours, 1438 hours, and 1639 hours. In the MAR, she documented administering 1 milligram of Dilaudid at each of the following times: 1240 hours, 1400 hours, and 1530 hours. In the Nursing Notes, she documented administering 1 milligram of Dilaudid at each of the following times: 1231 hours, 1418 hours, and 1438 hours. The MAR documentation details do not match the Nursing Notes documentation. Additionally, there was no documentation of any wastage at any time, nor was there any documentation of any administration or wastage of Dilaudid at or about 1639 hours.
- 17. On or about December 6, 2005, in a drug screen ordered by her employer, HRN, respondent tested positive for Marijuana and Morphine.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Illegally Obtaining a Controlled Substance/Dangerous Drug)

18. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 2761, subdivision (a), and Code section 2762, subdivision (a), for violating Health and Safety Code section 11173, subdivision (a), in that Respondent obtained drugs illegally from two different medical facilities while employed at HRN Services, Inc., West Covina, California, as a registry nurse. Complainant now refers to and incorporates all the allegations contained in paragraphs 14 through 16, including all subparagraphs, as though set forth fully.

27 | ///

///

28 | ///

14.15.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dangerous Use of Controlled Substances and Dangerous Drugs)

19. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 2671, subdivision (a), and section 2762, subdivision (b), in that Respondent used controlled substances and dangerous drugs in a manner dangerous to herself and others. On or about December 6, 2005, while working as a Registered Nurse through HRN, Respondent tested positive for Morphine and Marijuana. Also on or about December 6, 2005, Respondent admitted to the HRN Director of Patient Care that she had smoked marijuana over the weekend. Complainant refers to and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraph 17, as though set forth fully.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct / Falsifying Records and/or Making Grossly Incorrect Entries)

20. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 2761, subdivision (a), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct as defined in Section 2762, subdivision (e), in that on or about December 2005, while employed as a Registered Nurse by HRN, on assignment at St. Mary Medical Center and Orange Coast Memorial Medical Center, Respondent falsified hospital documentation, and/or made grossly incorrect and/or inconsistent entries in hospital documentation. Complainant now refers to and incorporates all the allegations contained in paragraphs 14 through 16, including all subparagraphs, as though set forth fully.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violating Provisions of the Nursing Practice Act)

21. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 2761, subdivision (d) for violating provisions of the Nursing Practice Act (Code section 2750 et seq.). Complainant refers to and incorporates all the allegations contained in paragraphs 14 through 20, including all subparagraphs, as though set forth fully.

///

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Registered Nursing issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Registered Nurse License Number 559518, issued to Respondent;
- 2. Ordering Respondent to pay the Board reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to section 125.3; and
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 13/24/08

RUTH ANN TERRY, M.P.H., R.N.

Executive Officer

Board of Registered Nursing Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

...: 12

LA2008600450 60322442.wpd